# Survey of Plant-Parasitic Nematodes Associated With Four Vegetable Crops Cultivated Within Tunnels

## Safdar A. Anwar,<sup>1</sup> \* M. M. Mahdi,<sup>2</sup> M. V. McKenry<sup>3</sup> and A. Qadir<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Institute of Plant Pathology, University of the Punjab, Quaid-e-Azam Campus, Lahore-54590, Pakistan. <sup>2</sup>Pest Warning and Quality Control, Agricultural Department, Chiniot, Jhang. <sup>3</sup>Department of Nematology, University of California, Riverside, CA 92521, USA.

<sup>4</sup>College of Earth and Environmental Sciences, University of the Punjab, Quaid-e-Azam Campus, Lahore-54590, Pakistan.

Abstract.- A survey was conducted during 2010-11 to determine the occurrence and population density of plant-parasitic nematodes on four vegetable crops including cumber (*Cucumis sativus*), tomato, (*Lycopersicum esculentum*), chili and bell peppers (*Capsicum frutescens*) cultivated under plastic tunnels. Major vegetable growing regions of Faisalabad, Jhang, Kasur, Lahore, N-Sahab, Sargodh, T.T. Singh, and Sheikhpur were included in our survey. The survey revealed the presence of nine species of plant-parasitic nematodes viz., *Aphelenchus avenae, Helicotylenchus dihystera, Hoplolaimus columbus, Meloidogyne javanica*, *M. incognita, Pratylenchus penetrans, Radopholus smilis, Tylenchorhynchus claytoni* and *Xiphinema* sp. Frequency and density of each species were highly variable from field to field and within the fields. Foliage growth was not uniform in the surveyed fields under tunnels where stunted growth, chlorotic leaves and wilted plants with fewer fruits were observed in patches. The stunted and poorly growing plants exhibited arrested root systems with reduced number of feeder roots; root galling and brown lesions were also evident.

Keywords: Plant-parasitic nematodes, Aphelenchus avenae, Helicotylenchus dihystera, Hoplolaimus columbus,, Meloidogyne javanica, M. incognita, Pratylenchus penetrans, Radopholus smilis, Tylenchorhynchus claytoni and Xiphinema sp

### **INTRODUCTION**

**F**our vegetable crops including cucumber, Cucumis sativus, bell and chili peppers Capsicum frutescens and tomato, Lycopersicum esculentum are important agricultural commodities gown extensively for fresh market. Average annual yields of these crops in Pakistan are 59.7, 16.3 and 1000.9 tons per hectare, respectively (FAO, 2009). During recent years interest has increased to cultivate these four vegetables in plastic tunnels to take advantage of early market prices. The result has been repeated cultivations within the same tunnels for several vears. As more intensive and continuous cultivation increased, soil-borne diseases and nematodes have become an important constraint in vegetable production (Anwar et al., 2007; 1992). Many species of plant-parasitic nematodes are serious pests of fruit and vegetable crops (Anwar and Van

\* Corresponding author: <u>safdar@uckac.edu</u> 0030-9923/2013/0003-0595 \$ 8.00/0 Copyright 2013 Zoological Society of Pakistan

Gundy, 1992). Nematode parasitism may result in secondary infection by soil-borne fungal and bacterial pathogens (Abawi and Chen, 1998; Sikora and Carter, 1987) or transmission of plant viruses (Brown et al., 1995), which can negatively influence yield (Orr and Robison, 1984). Yield reductions among vegetables have reached as high as 30% for susceptible genotypes in the presence of plant parasitic nematodes in some production regions in the Punjab (Anwar et al., 2007; Anwar and McKenry, 2012). Vegetable crops usually are among the most susceptible and worst affected by nematodes (Sharma et al., 2006; Anwar et al., 2007; Singh and Khurma, 2007). Infection of roots by nematodes alter uptake of water and nutrients and interferes with the translocation of minerals and photosynthates (Anwar, 1995; Williamson and Hussey, 1996). Such alterations can change the shoot: root ratio (Anwar and Van Gundy, 1989) leading to poor plant growth.

Chemical pesticides, crop rotation, and soil amendments are widely used by the growers to manage the soil-borne pathogens, including plantparasitic nematodes. Use of nematicides can provide instant and effective control of nematodes, but several nematicides have been withdrawn from the market because of health and environmental problems associated with their production and use (Thomason, 1987). In response to increasing public and regulatory concern over pesticide use in our food supply there has been increased interest in the development of alternatives that are effective, sustainable, and environmentally friendly. Alternative methods of nematode management under study include the use of natural enemies and host plant resistance but these alternatives can be highly specific. Crop resistance or predator specifically to some nematode species but not others can be a serious limitation of their effectiveness so it is important to acquire information on the occurrence of nematode species, their host range, their damage thresholds and their pathogenic potentials. Although, recent reports in Pakistan have been published on association, distribution, and density of plant parasitic nematodes on various crops planted in field settings (Anwar and Akhtar, 1992; Anwar et al., 2009; Magbool, 1992), there are no data available for vegetable crops grown within tunnels. Therefore, the objective of this study was to conduct a survey to quantify and document the occurrence, distribution, density and prevalence of nematode populations found associated with cucumber, chili pepper, bell pepper and tomato cultivated in commercial plastic tunnels in the Punjab.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

A survey was conducted in the major vegetable growing regions of Faisalabad, Toba-Tek Singh, Nankana Sahib, Lahore, Kasur, Shekhupura, Sargodha and Jhang of the Punjab (Fig. 1). Sampling of four vegetables including two peppers, tomato and cucumber grown under tunnels was conducted during 2010-11, when the crop was at harvesting stage.

Soil and root samples were collected randomly from each locality. Roots were washed free from soil, and root systems of the plants were stained with Phloxine B (Holbrook *et al.*, 1983) and assessed for the presence of egg masses. The root systems were rated for galling and egg mass indices on a 0 to 5 scale (Quesenberry *et al.*, 1989), where 0 = no galls or egg masses, 1 = 1 or 2, 2 = 3-10, 3 = 11-30, 4 = 31-100, and 5 > 100 galls or egg masses per root system. Each soil sample was a composite of 8-10 soil cores from the same tunnel to a depth of 18-20-cm with an Oakfield tube of 2.5-cm diameter. Samples were placed in labeled plastic bags, sealed and brought back to the nematology laboratory where they were stored at 4°C until processed for nematode presence.

Each soil sample was thoroughly mixed by shaking the plastic bags then a 100-cm<sup>3</sup> sub-sample was extracted using a combined sieving and Baermann funnel method that allowed nematode extraction in mist over a three-day period (McKenry and Roberts, 1985). In addition, mature females of root knot nematodes were dissected out from the infected roots and perineal patterns were prepared as described by Taylor and Netschler (1974). *Meloidogyne* species were identified on the basis of female perineal patterns, morphological characters of males and second stage juveniles (Eisenback, 1985; Jepson, 1987).

Collected nematodes were killed at 70°C, and fixed in 4% formalin and placed in vials. Prior to counting, solutions containing nematodes were agitated thoroughly then 3-ml poured into a counting dish. Nematode populations were quantified under stereo microscope 60 X magnification. Counting of root-knot nematodes was based on second stage juveniles only. Identification of other species of plant parasitic nematodes was based on the morphology and measurements of adults (Handoo and Golden, 1989; Handoo, 2000; Choi, 2001).

## Data analysis

Data on nematodes was subjected to analysis of variance using SAS (SAS Institute, Cary, NC).

#### RESULTS

Nine species of plant-parasitic nematodes were commonly detected in association with the four vegetables commonly cultivated within Punjab tunnels (Tables I-IV). Frequency and density of the recorded species varied greatly from tunnel to tunnel.



Fig. 1. Map showing the sampling sites of the eight districts of Punjab.

Two species of root knot nematode, Meloidogyne arenaria (Neal) Chitwood and M. incognita (Kofoid and White) Chitwood were common. These sedentary endoparasites of vascular tissues were recovered from all the four vegetable crops with a frequency and density that was highly variable. These nematodes induced inconsistent numbers of root galls and egg masses on roots (Table V). Galled roots exhibited arrested root systems with few feeder roots. Meloidogyne spp., were the predominant species in all surveyed localities. Second on the list were two migratory endoparasites: and cortical feeders including rootlesion nematode, Pratylenchus penetrans (Cobb) Filipjev and Schuurmans and burrowing nematode Radopholus similis Cobb. Five ectoparasitic nematodes (Aphelenchus avenae Bastian 1865, Helicotylenchus dihystera (Cobb), Sher, Hoplolaimus columbus Sher, Tylenchorhynchus claytoni Steiner and Xiphinema spp. were also recovered from each of the four vegetable crops though not at each of the field localities.

#### DISCUSSION

During this survey it was observed that the growth of vegetables was highly variable within most of these tunnels. It was common for plants to be severely stunted and chlorotic at latter harvests, a common indicator that nematode damage may be occurring. Arrested root systems, an inadequate number of feeder roots, root galling, and brown lesions were also seen on severely stunted and poorly growing plants.

This study provides quantification of occurrence and density of plant-parasitic nematodes associated with tunnel production of vegetables in the Punjab. Most nematodes identified in this survey can be anticipated to be a major threat to vegetable production and should be considered as serious pests (Anwar *et al.*, 2007; Anwar and Mckenry, 2012). Initial pathogenicity tests should include,

|                           | n farranha   |             |             | co nd          |            | Loc   | alities sa | mpled fo   | r nemat | odes        |       |             |                 |             |              |         |
|---------------------------|--------------|-------------|-------------|----------------|------------|-------|------------|------------|---------|-------------|-------|-------------|-----------------|-------------|--------------|---------|
| Nematode species          | Faisal<br>(5 | labad<br>0) | Jhai<br>(60 | <sup>n</sup> g | Kas<br>(60 | L C   | Lal<br>(3  | nore<br>() | S-N     | ahab<br>50) | Sarg  | odha<br>20) | T.T.<br>(3      | Singh<br>5) | Sheikl<br>(3 | upur0)* |
|                           | RF**         | MD          | RF          | MD             | RF         | MD    | RF         | MD         | RF      | MD          | RF    | MD          | RF              | MD          | RF           | MD      |
| Aphelenchus avenae        | Т            | ī           | 25          | 1750           | 17         | 1232  | 14         | 740        | 20      | 833         | 18    | 132         | 33              | 1506        | 12           | 476     |
| Helicotylenchus dihystera | 20           | 1660        | 12          | 1110           | 50         | 1720  | 14         | 1220       | ī       | ı           | 17    | 567         | 17              | 980         | 25           | 1760    |
| Hoplolaimus columbus      | 20           | 2000        | 37          | 2889           | 33         | 2179  | 28         | 1778       | 40      | 1269        | 15    | 58          | 33              | 1550        | 21           | 1340    |
| Meloidogyne javanica      | ı            | ı           | 12          | 980            | 17         | 1660  | 28         | 1420       | 20      | 980         | 17    | 2011        | 17              | 1872        | 25           | 1120    |
| Meloidogyne incognita     | 20           | 1400        | 50          | 1504           | 47         | 2001  | 57         | 2788       | 60      | 1401        | 18    | 2800        | 18              | 3921        | 23           | 1279    |
| Pratylenchus penetrans    | ī            | ī           |             | ,              | 17         | 1660  | 14         | 1667       | 20      | 1167        | 10    | 58          | ı.              | ŗ           | 25           | 1440    |
| Radopholus smilis         | 40           | 1108        | ï           | ī              | 17         | 2000  | 28         | 1310       | Т       | ı           | 11    | 324         | 17              | 1660        | 17           | 2000    |
| Tylenchorhynchus claytoni | 1            | ï           | 25          | 1333.          | ,          | į     | ï          | ,          | ī       | ,           | 1     | Ţ           | )               | Ţ           | ,            | ī       |
| Xiphinema spp.            | 20           | 1750        | 25          | 1346           | 33         | 913   | 14         | 620        | T       | ī           | ī     | ı           | 50              | 1373        | 25           | 913     |
| Nematode species          |              |             |             |                |            | Ĺ     | ocalities  | sampled    | for nen | natodes     |       |             |                 |             |              |         |
|                           | Faisal       | labad       | X           | asur           |            | Lahor | e.         | N-Sa       | hab     | Sar         | godha | T.T         | <b>[. Singl</b> |             | heikhu       | pur     |
|                           | 9)           | (0          |             | (40)           |            | (45)  |            | (27        |         |             | (28)  |             | e (09)          |             | (17)*        |         |
|                           | RF**         | MD          | RF          | MD             | ×          | H     | <b>(I)</b> | RF         | MD      | RF          | MD    | RF          | M               |             | R            |         |
| Aphelenchus avenae        | Т            |             | Ľ           | 1              |            | Ţ     | L          | т          | т       | 12          | 1750  | 25          | 122             | 2           | 14 2         | 075     |
| Helicotylenchus dihystera | 33           | 1268        | 14          | 1620           |            |       |            | 25         | 1140    | 25          | 1480  | 12          | 740             | _           |              |         |
| Hoplolaimus columbus      | 17           | 1420        | 28          | 1850           | 5          | 0 1   | 840        | 50         | 1413    | 25          | 1416  | 50          | 132             | 9           | 14 1         | 850     |
| Meloidogyne javanica      | 17           | 1240        | 15          | 780            |            | T     | 1          | 12         | 1220    | I           | T     | 12          | 187             | 0           | 14 5         | 80      |
| Meloidogyne incognita     | 50           | 1177        | 35          | 2233           | 4          | 0 1   | 180        | 50         | 8515    | 22          | 500   | 50          | 222             | 5           | 15 1.        | 517     |
| Pratylenchus penetrans    | 17           | 760         | ı           | T              |            |       | ı          | ī          | ı       | 12          | 1750  | 25          | 176             | 0           | 11 1         | 334     |
| Radopholus smilis         | ı            | ı           | 14          | 1660           | 7          | 0 1   | 120        | 25         | 583     | 13          | 1600  | '           | I               |             | 12 2         | 490     |
| Tylenchorhynchus claytoni | 33           | 1317        | 15          | 1139           | 0          | 0 1   | 654        | ī          | ı       | 25          | 967   |             | '               |             | 14 1         | 660     |
| Xiphinema spp.            | `17          | 1110        | 16          | 1480           |            |       | ı          | 25         | 874     | 25          | 1056  | ı           | т               |             | 13 2         | 467     |

\*Number of soil samples collected. \*\*RF = Relative frequency of occurrence (percentage of samples in which species was found); MD = Mean density  $\pm$  standard deviation of nematodes in 500 cm<sup>3</sup> soil.

S.A. ANWAR ET AL.

| Namatada enaniae   |                         |             |            |            |            | T nealitie  | acamnla   | d for nom   | ntadae      |             |            |               |             |                      |
|--|-------------------------|-------------|------------|------------|------------|-------------|-----------|-------------|-------------|-------------|------------|---------------|-------------|----------------------|
| Nematode species   |                         | 0           | 1          |            | 1          | Locallue    | s sampre  | a lor nem   | latodes     | î.          |            |               | -           | 0                    |
|  | Faisal                  | labad       | Jh         | ang        | K          | asur<br>18) | La        | hore        | N-S         | ahab<br>M   | T.T.       | . Sing<br>23) | Sheik       | chupur<br>7)*        |
|  | RF**                    | MD          | RF         | MD         | RF         | MD          | RF        | MD          | RF          | MD          | RF         | MD            | RF          | MD                   |
| Aphelenchus avenae   | 14                      | 1120        | 20         | 1668       | ·          | I           | 15        | 1500        | ı           | ·           | 17         | 1114          | 20          | 560                  |
| Helicotylenchus dihystera                                  | 18                      | 940         | 14         | 1820       | 12         | 1484        | 12        | 1254        | 15          | 1120        | 15         | 080           | 20          | 1460                 |
| Hoplolaimus columbus                                       | 18                      | 1725        | 10         | 1445       | ·          | ï           | ı         | ı           | 15          | 1307        | 13         | 1715          | 10          | 870                  |
| Meloidogyne javanica                                       |                         |             | 20         | 780        | 14         | 1280        | 12        | 1140        | ı           | 1           | 14         | 1660          | 20          | 1020                 |
| Meloidogyne incognita                                      | 20                      | 1250        | 22         | 1542       | 15         | 3735        | 20        | 3117        | 22          | 1750        | 20         | 2165          | 28          | 2150                 |
| Pratylenchus penetrans                                     | 13                      | 1273        | 16         | 1640       | 13         | 1660        | 15        | 867         | 14          | 1924        | 12         | 1334          | 20          | 1420                 |
| Radopholus smilis  | 15                      | 1470        | 20         | 667        | 15         | 2282        | ı         | T           | 16          | 1552        | 18         | 1889          | 14          | 1660                 |
| Tylenchorhynchus claytoni                                  | I                       | ı           | Ţ          | ı          | ī          | ı           | ı         | ı           | ı           | ī           | Ţ          | ī             | ,           | ı                    |
| Xiphinema spp.   | ı                       | ı           | 20         | 500        |            | I           | 13        | 750         | 19          | 760         | 14         | 580           | ī           | т                    |
| *Number of soil samples col<br>**RF = Relative frequency c | llected.<br>of occurren | ce (percent | age of sa  | mples in w | hich speci | ies was fou | nd); MD   | = Mean d    | ensity ± si | tandard de  | viation of | nematode      | s in 500 c  | m <sup>3</sup> soil. |
| Table IV Occurrence fi                                     | requency a              | ınd density | y of plant | -parasitic | nematod    | es associat | ed with I | bell peppe  | ers plante  | d under ti  | unnels.    |               |             |                      |
| Nematode species   |                         |             |            |            |            | Localitie   | s sample  | d for nem   | atodes      |             |            |               |             |                      |
|  | Faisa)<br>(2            | labad<br>0) | Jh         | ang<br>18) | ⊖ K        | asur<br>20) | La        | hore<br>26) | S-N         | ahab<br>20) | T.T.       | . Sing<br>16) | Sheik<br>(2 | chupur               |
|  | RF**                    | MD          | RF         | MD         | RF         | MD          | RF        | MD          | RF          | MD          | RF         | MD            | RF          | MD                   |
| Anhelenchus avenae   | I                       | I           | 15         | 1847       |            | I           | 14        | 020         | 12          | 1660        |            | ı             | 17          | 660                  |
| Helicotylenchus dihystera                                  | 12                      | 740         | 13         | 1672       | 19         | 960         | 17        | 1270        | ı           | ı           | 12         | 19            | ı           | ı                    |
| Hoplolaimus columbus                                       | 14                      | 1450        | 15         | 1016       | 14         | 1660        | 17        | 1684        | 15          | 1880        | x          | ī             | 23          | 1530                 |
| Meloidogyne javanica                                       | ı                       | ·           | 12         | 1470       | 14         | 770         | ï         | ī           | 13          | 920         | 11         | 17            | 17          | 880                  |
| Meloidogyne incognita                                      | 20                      | 1260        | 15         | 2250       | 18         | 2490        | 19        | 1420        | 12          | 750         | 15         | 36.3          | 13          | 1460                 |

Table III.-Occurrence frequency and density of plant-parasitic nematodes associated with chili peppers planted under tunnels.

| Nematode species          |       |       |    |      |    | Localitie | s sample | d for nem | atodes |      |      |      |       |             |
|---------------------------|-------|-------|----|------|----|-----------|----------|-----------|--------|------|------|------|-------|-------------|
|                           | Faisa | labad | Jh | ang  | K  | tsur      | Lal      | nore      | N-S    | ahab | T.T. | Sing | Sheik | hupur       |
|                           | (2    | 0     | (1 | 8)   |    | 20)       | ()       | 6         |        | 20)  | (1   | 6    | (2    | <b>6</b> )* |
|                           | RF**  | MD    | RF | MD   | RF | MD        | RF       | MD        | RF     | MD   | RF   | MD   | RF    | MD          |
|                           |       |       |    |      |    |           |          |           |        |      |      |      |       |             |
| Aphelenchus avenae        | ,     | ·     | 15 | 1842 | ī  | ï         | 14       | 930       | 12     | 1660 | ï    | ï    | 17    | 660         |
| Helicotylenchus dihystera | 12    | 740   | 13 | 1672 | 19 | 960       | 17       | 1270      | ı      | ľ    | 12   | 19   | ľ     | r           |
| Hoplolaimus columbus      | 14    | 1450  | 15 | 1016 | 14 | 1660      | 17       | 1684      | 15     | 1880 | ,    | ī    | 23    | 1530        |
| Meloidogyne javanica      | ï     | r     | 12 | 1470 | 14 | 770       | ,        | ï         | 13     | 920  | 11   | 17   | 17    | 880         |
| Meloidogyne incognita     | 20    | 1260  | 15 | 2250 | 18 | 2490      | 19       | 1420      | 12     | 750  | 15   | 36.3 | 13    | 1460        |
| Pratylenchus penetrans    | 20    | 780   | ,  | 1637 | 14 | 4980      | 12       | 880       | 12     | 1120 | 12   | 1167 | 14    | 1080        |
| Radopholus smilis         | 10    | 1590  | 8  | 1055 | 14 | 830       | 13       | 840       | 10     | 1855 | 14   | 1828 | 18    | 1246        |
| Tylenchorhynchus claytoni | •     | •     |    |      |    |           |          | •         |        | •    | ÷    | 22   | ·     | ı           |
| Xiphinema spp.            | ,     | ı     | 12 | 583  | 14 | 830       | ı        | ı         | 15     | 2250 | ·    | '    | 16    | 1110        |
|                           |       |       |    |      |    |           |          |           |        |      |      |      |       |             |
|                           | -     |       |    |      |    |           |          |           |        |      |      |      |       |             |

\*Number of soil samples collected. \*\*RF = Relative frequency of occurrence (percentage of samples in which species was found); MD = Mean density  $\pm$  standard deviation of nematodes in 500 cm<sup>3</sup> soil.

| <b>Fest crops</b> |            |             | Nun       | nber of ro  | ot galling  | t (G), gall | index (I) | ), egg mas  | ses (EM)  | and egg 1  | mass inde   | x (I) per | root syste | em*        |           |        |
|-------------------|------------|-------------|-----------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-----------|-------------|-----------|------------|-------------|-----------|------------|------------|-----------|--------|
| c                 | G (I)      | EM(I)       | G (I)     | EM(I)       | G (I)       | EM(I)       | G (I)     | EM(I)       | G (I)     | EM(I)      | G (I)       | EM(I)     | G (I)      | EM(I)      | G (I)     | EM(I)  |
|                   |            |             |           |             |             |             |           |             |           |            |             |           |            |            |           |        |
| Cumber            | 74 (4)     | 20 (3)      | 33 (4)    | 42 (4)      | 37(4)       | 32 (4)      | 44 (4)    | 37 (4)      | 24 (3)    | 29 (4)     | ·           | * *       | 87 (4)     | 74 (4)     | 51 (4)    | 42 (4) |
| <b>Fomato</b>     | 52 (4)     | 11 (3)      | ſ         | ı           | 65(4)       | 50 (4)      | 57 (3)    | 44 (4)      | 38(4)     | 26 (3)     | 47 (4)      | 33 (4)    | 37 (4)     | 24 (3)     | 21 (3)    | 12 (3) |
| Chili             | 51 (4)     | 16(3)       | 46 (4)    | 31 (4)      | 24(3)       | 18 (3)      | 20(3)     | 15 (3)      | 22 (3)    | 19(3)      |             | ,         | 38 (4)     | 31 (4)     | 29 (3)    | 27 (3) |
| Pepper            | 33 (4)     | 12 (3)      | 29 (3)    | 24 (3)      | 16(3)       | 11 (3)      | 19 (3)    | 14 (3)      | 11 (3)    | 7 (2)      | ı           | ı         | 18 (3)     | 23 (3)     | 14 (3)    | 6 (2)  |
| Gall and egg      | mass indic | ces: 0-5 sc | ale; when | e 0 = no g: | alls or egg | g masses,   | 1 = 1-2 g | alls or egg | masses; 2 | 2 = 3-10 g | alls or egg | masses;   | 3 = 11-30  | galls or e | gg masses | ; 4 =  |

31-100 galls or egg masses, and 5 = > 100 galls or egg masses per root system (Quesenberry *et al.*, 1989).

\*\*= Plants were not sampled as there was no tunnel

 Table V. Host response of four vegetables to Meloidogyne spp. in terms of root galling and egg masses

*M. incognita*, and *M. arenaria*, *P. penetrans* and *R. similis* which taken alone can be of serious economic importance in the tropics and sub tropics (Anwar *et al.*, 2007; Davide, 1988; Maqool *et al.*, 1988).

Meloidogyne spp. are common in vegetable soils world-wide where they parasitize vascular root tissues and induce their familiar root galls. Rootknot nematode, M. incognita, is among the most common (Anwar and Mckenry, 2010; Abawi and Widmer, 2000, Davis et al., 2003; Sasser, 1979; Barker and Olthof, 1976). In addition to extensive root galling leading to arrested root systems and its presence is often been associated with increased incidence and severity of Fusarium wilts of several field crops (Anwar and Khan, 1973; Martin et al., 1994). The result is reduced yield of vegetable crops due to nematode feeding that can range up to more than 40% (Anwar and Mckenry, 2012), depending on soil texture and prevailing weather conditions (Starr, 1993).

Root lesion nematode, P. penetrans and the burrowing nematode, R. similis are migratory endoparasites of roots feeding among cortical tissues. Their infections can result in necrotic brown lesions and tunneling within rootlets. This can interfere with water and nutrient movement within plant tissues as well as increased leakage of harvested, stored food due to lesions (Dorhout et al., 1991). Pratylenchus penetrans is known to enhance the severity of Verticillium wilt of vegetables (Vrain, 1987). Presence of these serious plant parasitic nematodes in abundance on vegetables produced within warmed tunnels should be taken seriously by growers. The association of these nematodes with vegetable crops has been reported to limit yields worldwide and there are now reports of yield losses from Punjab, Pakistan (Anwar and McKenry, 2012), India (Sehgal and Gaur, 1999), and USA (McSorley et al., 1987).

Other nematodes species identified during this study included A. avenae, H. dihystera, H. columbus, T. claytoni, and Xiphinema spp. These are ectoparasites of epidermal root tissues and have not been documented as dangerous pests of vegetables. Their feeding leads to pruning of root hairs and damage to epidermal tissues, which can reduce the ability of roots to absorb water and nutrients from soil leading to poor foliage growth (Endo, 1975). The occurrence of these ectoparasitic nematodes genera has frequently been found in commercially grown vegetable crops (Anwar and McKenry, 2010; Anwar and Akhtar, 1992 Magbool, 1992; Barker et al., 1998). Species of Xiphinema in addition to the direct root damage caused by their feeding also are known to transmit viral diseases like tomato ringspot nepovirus (TomRSV), tobacco ringspot nepovirus (TobRSV) that infects tomato, tobacco, and soybean and has an economically important impact on cucurbits (Fulton, 1962; Imle and Samson, 1937; Brown et al., 1993, 1995). As virus vectors they can be damaging at very low population levels. Least damaging of the listed nematodes to vegetables is A. avenae, a nematode that derives its food from fungi and bacteria and is more associated with damage to mushroom culturing (Khanna and Kumar, 2005).

Variations in occurrence frequency and density of each nematode species surveyed from these vegetable crops appears to be influenced by cropping pattern. It is reported that plant-parasitic nematodes in cultivated soil may be affected by the planting of cover crops, the use of alternate crop sequences, soil types and length of fallow (Brodie and Murphy, 1975; Brodie *et al.*, 1970).

The results of this study indicate that plantparasitic nematodes are widely distributed on vegetable crops cultivated under tunnels in the Punjab. This information on nematode occurrence on vegetable crops will be helpful for growers for planning and administering nematode management strategies to reduce the nematode populations below their threshold levels. This study also demonstrated that the presence of many economically important plant-parasitic nematodes are associated with Punjab vegetable plantings but particularly those within tunnels where replanting is commonly on a fast cycle. This study further suggests that magnitude of nematode problem in tunnel planted crops needs serious consideration to tackle by the use of useful nematode management strategies.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

This research project # 1287 was funded by

Higher Education Commission, Islamabad, Pakistan

601

#### REFERENCES

- ABAWI, G. S. AND CHEN J., 1998. Concomitant pathogen and pest interactions. In: *Plant and nematode interactions* (eds. K.R. Barker, G.A. Pederson and G.L. Windham). Agronomy Monograph 36. American Society of Agronomy, Madison, WI, pp. 135-158.
- ABAWI, G.S. AND WIDMER, T.L., 2000. Impact of soil health management practices on soil-borne pathogens, nematodes and root diseases of vegetable crops. Department of Plant Pathology, NYSAES, Cornell University, Geneva, USA.
- ANWAR, S.A., 1995. Influence of *Meloidogyne ingconita*, *Paratrichodorus minor* and *Pratylencus scribneri* on root-shoot growth and carbohydrate partitioning in tomato. *Pakistan J. Zool.*, 27:105-113.
- ANWAR, S.A. AND AKHTAR, S.A., 1992. Evaluation of four vegetables to *Meloidogyne incognita*. J. agric. Res., 30:415-421.
- ANWAR, S.A., AKHTAR, M.S. AND TAHIR, A., 1992. Plant parasitic nematode problems of some field, vegetable, fruit and ornamental crops in the Punjab, Pakistan-II. *Proc. Parasitol.*, 14:86-98.
- ANWAR, S.A. AND KHAN, I.U., 1973. Some studies on cotton wilt complex. *J. agric. Res.*, **11**:94-98.
- ANWAR, S.A. AND McKENRY, M.V., 2010. Incidence and reproduction of *Meloidogyne incognita* on vegetable crop genotypes. *Pakistan J. Zool.*, 42:135-141.
- ANWAR, S. A. AND McKENRY, M.V., 2012. Incidence and population density of plant-parasitic nematodes infecting vegetable crops and associated yield losses. *Pakistan J. Zool.*, 44: 327-333.
- ANWAR, S.A. AND VAN GUNDY, S.D., 1989. Influence of four nematodes on root and shoot growth parameters in grapes. J. Nematol., 21:276-283.
- ANWAR, S.A. AND VAN GUNDY, S.D., 1992. Effect of nematodes on bud-break, water relations and growth of grapes, *Vitas vinifera*. *Afro-Asian J. Nematol.*, 2:48-53.
- ANWAR. S.A., ZIA, A., HUSSAIN, M. AND KAMRAN, M., 2007. Host suitability of selected plants to *Meloidogyne incognita* in the Punjab, Pakistan. *Int. J. Nematol.*, 17:144-150.
- ANWAR, S.A., ZIA, A. AND JAVID, N., 2009. Meloidogyne incognita infection of five weeds. Pakistan J. Zool., 41:95-100.
- BARKER, K.R. AND OLTHOF, T.H.A., 1976. Relationships between nematode population densities and crop responses. Annu. Rev. Phytopathol., 14: 327-353.
- BARKER, K.R., PEDERSON, G.A. AND WINDHAM, G.L., 1998. *Plant and nematode interactions*. ASA, CSSA, and SSSA, Madison, WI.
- BRODIE, B. B., GOOD, J. M. AND JAWORSKI, C. A., 1970.

Population dynamics of plant nematodes in cultivated soil: Effect of summer crops in old agricultural land. *J. Nematol.*, **2**:147-151.

- BRODIE, B. B. AND MURPHY, W. S., 1975. Population dynamics of plant nematodes as affected by combinations of fallow and cropping sequence. J. *Nematol.*, 7:91-92.
- BROWN, D.J.F., HALBRENDT, J.M., ROBBINS, R.T. AND VRAIN, T.C., 1993. Transmission of nepoviruses by *Xiphinema americanum*-group nematodes. J. Nematol., 25: 349-354
- BROWN, D. J. F., ROBERTSON, W. M. AND TRUDGIL, D. L., 1995. Transmission of virus by plant nematodes. *Annu. Rev. Phytopathol.*, 33:223-249.
- CHOI, Y., 2001. Nematoda (Tylenchida, Aphelenchida). Economic Insects of Korea 20. Ins. Korean. Supplement 27. 392 pp.
- DAVIDE, R.G., 1988. Nematode problems affecting agriculture in the Philippines. J. Nematol., **20:**214–218.
- DAVIS, R.F., EARL, H.J. AND TIMPER, P., 2003. Interaction of root-knot nematode stress and water stress in cotton. University of Georgia Cotton Research and Extension Report. Pp. 312-315.
- DORHOUT, R., GOMMERS, F.J. AND KOLLOFFEL, C., 1991. Water transport through tomato roots infected with *Meloidogyne incognita*. *Phytopathology*, **81**:379-385.
- EISENBACK, J.D., 1985. Diagnostic characters useful in the identification of four most common species of root knot nematodes (*Meloidogyne* spp.) In: An Advance Treatise on Meloidogyne. Vol. I, Biology and control. (eds. J.N. Sasser and C.C. Carter). North Carolina State University Graphics, Raleigh, NC, USA. Pp. 95-112.
- ENDO, B.Y., 1975. Pathogenesis of nematode-infected Plants. Annu. Rev. Phytopatho., 13:213-238.
- FAO, 2009. Faostat Database Collections <a href="http://apps.fao.org/page/collections">http://apps.fao.org/page/collections</a>>.
- FULTON, J.P., 1962. Transmission of tobacco ringspot virus by *Xiphinema americanum. Phytopathology*, **52**: 375.
- HANDOO, Z., 2000. A key and diagnoctic compendium to the species of the genus *Tylenchorhynchus* Cobb, 1913 (Nematoda: Belonolaimidae). J. Nematol., **32**:20-34.
- HANDOO, Z. AND GOLDEN, A.M., 1989. A key and diagnostic compendium of the genus *Pratylenchus* Filipjev, 1936 (lesion nematode). *J. Nematol.*, 21:202-218.
- HOLBROOK, C. C., KNAUFT, D. A. AND DICKSON, D. W., 1983. A technique for screening peanut for resistance to *Meloidogyne arenaria. Pl. Dis.*, **57**:957–958.
- IMLE, F.P. AND SAMSON, R.W., 1937. Studies on a ring-spot type of virus and tomato. *Phytopathology*, **27**:132.
- JEPSON, S.B., 1987. Identification of root-knot nematodes (Meloidogyne species). C.A.B. International, Wallingford.

- KHANNA A.S. AND KUMAR, S., 2005. Effect of myceliophagous nematodes on flush pattern and yield of Agaricus bisporus (Lange) Imbach. Indian J. Mushroom, 23:34-36.
- MAQBOOL, M.A., 1992. Distribution and host association of plant parasitic nematodes in Pakistan. National Nematological Research Centre, University of Karachi, Karachi, Pakistan. Pp. 215.
- MAQBOOL, M.A., HASHMI, S. AND GHAFFAR, A., 1988.
  Problem of root knot nematode in Pakistan and strategy for their control. In: *Advances in plant nematology* (eds. M.A. Maqbool, A.M. Golden, A. Ghaffar and L.R. Krusberg). National Nematological Research Centre, University of Karachi, Karachi, Pakistan, pp. 229-240
- MARTIN, S.B., MUELLER, J.D., SAUNDERS, J.A., AND JONES, W.I., 1994. A survey of South Carolina cotton fields for plant-parasitic nematodes. *Plant Dis.*, **78**:717-719.
- McKENRY, M.V. AND ROBERTS, P.A., 1985. *Phytonematology study guide* (eds. M.V. McKenry and P.A. Roberts). Cooperative Extension University of California. Division of Agriculture and Natural Resources. Publication 4045.
- McSORLEY, R., ARENETT, J.D., BOST, S.S., CARTE, W.W., HAFEZ, S.A., JHONSON, KIRKPATRICK, W.T., NYCZEPIR, A.P., RADEWALD, J.D. ROBINSON, A.F. AND SCHMITT D.P., 1987. Bibliography of estimated crop losses in the United States due to plant-parasitic nematodes. *Annls. appl. Nematol.*, 1:6-12.
- ORR, C.C. AND ROBINSON, A.F., 1984. Assessment of cotton losses in western Texas caused by *Meloidogyne* incognita. Plant Dis., 68:284-292.
- QUESENBERRY, K.H., BALTENSPERGER, D.D., DUNN, R.A., WILCOX, C.J. AND HARDY, S.R., 1989. Selection for tolerance to root-knot nematodes in red clover. *Crop Sci.*, 29:62–66.
- SASSER, J.N. 1979. Economic importance of *Meloidogyne* in tropical countries. In: *Root-knot nematode* (Meloidogyne spp.) systematic, biology, and control. (eds. F. Lamberti and C. E. Taylor). Academic Press, London, pp. 359-374.
- SATTAR, A., ABID, M. AND GHAFFAR, A., 1987. Addition to the hosts of *Meloidogyne incognita* in Pakistan. *Pak. J. Nematol.*, 5:109.
- SEHGAL, H.L. AND GAUR, H.S. 1999. Important nematode problems of India. Technical Bulletin NCIMP, New Delhi, India, pp. 16.
- SHARMA, A., HASEEB, A. AND ABUZAR, S., 2006. Screening of field pea (*Pisum sativum*) selections for their reactions to root-knot nematode (*Meloidogyne* incognita). J. Zhejiang Univ. Sci., 7:209–214.
- SIKORA, R.R. AND CARTER, "V.W., 1987. Nematode interactions with fungal and bacterial plant pathogenfact or fantasy. In: Vistas on nematology (eds. J. A.

Veech and D. W. Dickson). Society of Nematologists Inc., Hyattsville, Maryland, USA, pp. 307-312.

- SINGH, S.K. AND KHURMA, U.R., 2007. Susceptibility of six tomato cultivars to the root-knot nematode, *Meloidogyne incognita. S. Pacif. J. nat. Sci.*, 13:73-77.
- STARR, J.L. AND VEECH, J.A. 1986. Comparison of development, reproduction, and aggressiveness of *Meloidogyne incognita* races 3 and 4 on cotton. J. *Nematol.*, 18:413-415.
- TAYLOR, D.P. AND NETSCHER, C., 1974. An improved technique for preparing perineal patterns of *Meloidogyne* spp. *Nematologica*, **20**:268-269.
- THOMASON, I.J., 1987. Challenges facing Nematology:

Environmental risks with nematicides and the need for new approaches. In: *Vistas on Nematology* (eds. J. A. Veech and D. W. Dickson). Society of Nematologists Inc., Hyattsville, Maryland, USA. Pp. 469-476

- VRAIN, T.C., 1987. Effect of Ditylenchus dipsaci and Pratylenchus penetrans on Verticillium wilt of Alfalfa. J. Nematol., 19:379–383.
- WILLIAMSON, V.M. AND HUSSEY, R.S., 1996. Nematode pathogenesis and resistance in plants. *Plant Cell*, 8:1735-1745.

(Received 3 April 2012, revised 9 April 2013)